# FINAL REPORT POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES AT THE SCIENTIFIC CHEMICAL PROCESSING SITES NEWARK AND CARLSTADT, NEW JERSEY

#### Prepared for

# U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Office of Waste Programs Enforcement Washington, D.C. 20460

: 323

: 11

Work Assignment No.
EPA Region
Site No.
Contract No.
CDM Federal Programs
Corporation Document No.
Prepared By
Work Assignment Project Manager
Telephone Number
Primary Contact
Telephone Number
Date Prepared

: 2B65/2BE4 : 68-01-7331 : T323-C02-FR-BBKK-2 : Booz Allen & Hamilton,Inc. : Margaret Crosby : (301) 951-2200 : Janet Feldstein : (212) 264-0613

: September 16, I987

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page Number
INTRODU	CTION		1
1.0	REORG	ANIZATION OF PRP CORRESPONDENCE FILES	2
2.0	VERIF	ICATION OF COMPUTER DATA BASES	.3
3.0	PREPA RESPO	RATION OF LISTS OF PRPs AND TYPE OF NSE	4
APPENDI	x a -		
TABLE	1	POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES AT THE SCIENTIFIC CHEMICAL PROCESSING SITES, NAND CARLSTADT, NEW JERSEY	EWARK
TABLE	2	POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES AND TYP RESPONSE TO 104(e) LETTERS	E OF
TABLE	3 <b>A</b>	POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES WHO RES POSITIVELY TO 104(e) LETTERS ABOUT THE CARLSTADT SITE AND HAVE NOT BEEN NAMED CARLSTADT ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER	SCP
TABLE	3B	POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES WHO RES POSITIVELY TO 104(e) LETTERS ABOUT THE NEWARK SITE AND HAVE NOT BEEN NAMED IN ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER	SCD
TABLE		POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES WHO RES POSITIVELY TO 104(e) LETTERS ABOUT THE SITES BUT DID NOT KNOW WHICH SITE RECEI WASTE AND ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS IN WHICH WERE NAMED	SCP VED THE
APPĖNDI:	( B -	CRITERIA USED TO DETERMINE TYPE OF RESPONDANCE OF CARLSTADT OR NEWARY STEEL	ONSE TO T THE

#### INTRODUCTION

Region II of the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) uses several computer data bases to manage information pertaining to the approximately 275 potentially responsible parties (PRPs) at the Scientific Chemical Processing (SCP) sites in Carlstadt and Newark, New Jersey. The information contained in the data bases is derived primarily from manifests obtained from the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and from PRP responses to EPA Information Request Letters (104(e) letters). EPA contracted Booz, Allen & Hamilton Inc. to review the PRP response data bases for completeness and accuracy and to prepare lists of PRPs according to type of response.

This report describes the approach Booz, Allen used to review and update the data bases and to prepare the lists of PRPs. The first section describes the reorganization of the potentially responsible party correspondence files. The second section describes the approach followed to verify the accuracy of the computer data bases. The preparation of the lists of PRPs and type of response provided is described in the third section. The lists of PRPs and their responses are included in Appendix A; criteria for classifying the type of response provided by the PRPs are identified in Appendix B.

# 1.0 REORGANIZATION OF PRP CORRESPONDENCE FILES

The first step in verifying the data about the PRPs was to organize the information available into usable files. Booz, Allen reorganized approximately eight linear feet of files into alphabetical order by company name. Within each company file, all correspondence, invoices and manifests pertaining to that company or its wastes were reorganized in reverse chronological order.

During the file reorganization, any information which could be determined to be missing was identified, and efforts were made to retrieve the information before data base verification began.

### 2.0 VERIFICATION OF COMPUTER DATA BASES

Booz, Allen verified the data in each of the three separate computer files used to track the information provided by the potentially responsible parties. Computer printouts of the data were compared to the original correspondence, invoices and manifests contained in the reorganized company files. The following data elements were checked for accuracy in each file:

- . Company Name
- . Company Address
- . Company Contact
- . SCP Site Referenced
- . Type of Waste Shipped
- Volume of Waste Shipped.

Booz, Allen also determined whether the PRPs had been listed in the correct computer file. The Newark and Carlstadt files contain information about companies who used the SCP Newark facility and the SCP Carlstadt facility, respectively; the Unknown file contains information about companies that used one or both of the facilities but the specific facility could not be identified. Any PRPs not listed in the correct file were so indicated.

Errors in the information in the data bases and in the location of the information were marked on the printouts and delivered to EPA for correction. Revised printouts containing the corrected entries were proofread for accuracy; any remaining errors were noted on the printouts.

### 3.0 PREPARATION OF LISTS OF PRPS AND TYPE OF RESPONSE

Booz, Allen used the information in the data bases to create lists of potentially responsible parties and their responses to EPA 104(e) letters. Each list is described below; the actual tables are included in Appendix A of this report. Criteria used in determining the type of response provided by PRPs are described in Appendix B.

- TABLE 1 All the potentially responsible parties at the SCP sites are listed in Table 1. This table contains all companies identified in the three computer data files.
- TABLE 2 All PRPs are listed in Table 2, according to the type of response they provided to the 104(e) information request letters. The responses given about the SCP site are classified as: Positive; Out of Business; Known to Have Received Wastes, Not Generated; Non-Hazardous Waste; No Response; Inadequate or Incomplete Response; Claimed Did Not Handle SCP Wastes; or Claimed Received Waste from SCP, Did Not Ship to SCP.
- TABLE 3A Table 3A lists all PRPs who responded that their wastes had been shipped to the SCP Carlstadt site and who were not named in any of the Administrative Orders covering the Carlstadt facility.
- TABLE 3B Table 3B lists all PRPs who responded that their wastes had been shipped to the SCP Newark site and who were not named in any of the Administrative Orders covering the Newark facility.
- TABLE 3C All PRPs who responded that their wastes had been shipped to either the Carlstadt or the Newark SCP facility but they did not know which one are listed in Table 3C. This table also indicates whether the PRP was cited in any of the Administrative Orders pertaining to either site.

#### APPENDIX A

LISTS OF POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES AT THE SCIENTIFIC CHEMICAL PROCESSING SITES CARLSTADT AND NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

#### TABLE 1

# POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES AT THE SCIENTIFIC CHEMICAL PROCESSING SITES NEWARK AND CARLSTADT, NEW JERSEY

3M A.R. Winarick, Inc. AT&T Technologies, Inc. (formerly Western Electric Company) Acco Babcock Inc. Accutreat Film Company Additive Products Division (formerly Photocircuits) Aeroclo Corporation Ahart Chemical Company Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. Air-O-Plastik Corporation Alco (Synthane-Taylor) Alfa Ink & Chemical Corporation All Purpose Roll Leaf Corporation Alliance Chemical Inc. Allied Corporation Aluminum Company of America American Can Corporation American Cyanimid Company American Hoechst Corporation American Inks and Coatings Corporation American Metaseal Company American Standard, Inc. Ames Rubber Corporation Amoco Oil Company Ampol KleerKast Armstrong Cork Company, Inc. Arrow Group Industries, Inc. Ashland Chemical Company Avery Label B.P. Canada BASF Wyandotte Corporation BOC Group, Inc. (formerly Airco, Inc.) Bee Chemical Company Bendix Corporation Benjamin Moore + Company Ber-Mar Manufacturing Corporation Bio/Dynamics Inc. Borden Inc. Bowen Engineering, Inc. Bristol-Myers Products Brown + Root U.S.A. Browning-Ferris Industries C.F. Braun & Company CBS Toys

CODI Semiconductor, Inc.

Callahan Chemical Company Carter Day Industries, Inc. Carter Manfacturing Company Inc. Cassidy Chemical Company, Inc. Cecos International, Inc. Cellu-Craft Inc. Centric Clutch Company Cerro Wire and Cable Company, Inc. Chemcoat, Inc. Chemical Dynamics Corporation Chemical Intermediates, Inc. Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc. Chemical Pollution Control Inc. Chemical Systems Inc. Chemical Waste Disposal Corporation Chemray Coatings Corporation Chromalloy American Corporation Ciba-Geigy Corporation Cities Service Oil + Gas Corporation Clark Oil & Refining Corporation Coburn Corporation Colgate-Palmolive Company Combustion Equipment Associates/Carter Day Industries, Inc. Comet Chemical Company, Inc. Con-Lux Coatings Inc. Conestoga Fuels, Inc. Congoleum Corporation Congress Textile Printers, Inc. Conoco Inc. Container Graphics Corporation Continental Can Company, Inc. Continental Group Continental Technical Finishes Corporation Control Data Corporation Converters Ink Company Cosan Chemical Corporation Custom Chemicals Company Custom Service, Inc. Davron Coatings Decorative Industries, Inc. Delaware Container Company, Inc. Diamond Shamrock Chemicals Company Dri-Print Foils, Inc. Duracell Inc. Duralac Inc. E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company, Inc. E.R. Squibb & Sons Efka Plastic Corporation Electroid Company Ell-Bee Chemical Company, Inc. Engelhard Corporation Environmental Waste Removal

Esselte Pendaflex Corporation Exxon Company, U.S.A. Faberge, Inc. Fairchild Camera and Instrument Corporation Fitchburg Coated Products (formerly a division of Litton Business Systems) Flexabar Corporation G.T.E. Sylvania Inc. GAF Corporation Ganes Chemicals, Inc. General Automative Specialty Company, Inc. General Electric Corporation General Foods Corporation General Hose Products, Inc. General Motors Gilbert Spruance Company Gilette Company Graphimetrics Grumman Aerospace Corporation Harmon Colors Corporation Harris + Mallow Products Harshaw Chemical Company Hazardous Waste Disposal, Inc. Hexel Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc. Independence Engineering Company Ingersoll-Rand Company Inland Steel Container Company Inmont Corporation International Business Machines Corporation Interstab Chemicals, Inc. J. Josephson, Inc. J. Landau & Company, Inc. J. M. Huber Corporation J.T. Baker Chemical Company John L. Armitage Company Johnson + Johnson Baby Products Company Jonas Waste Removal Jones Chemicals, Inc. Joseph Cinelli Keystone Portland Cement Company Kirker Chemical Knomark, Inc. L.E. Carpenter and Company L.J. Drum Company Lakamerical Enterprises, Ltd. Langer Transport Corporation M and T Chemicals Inc. MIHMS Boatyard MOS Technology Maas + Waldstein Company MacKenzie Chemical Works, Inc.

Mack Barnes Mack Trucks, Inc. Magid Corporation Magnus Tool & Die Company Mallory Battery Company Marisol Inc. Masonite Corporation Matlack, Inc. Mattiace Industries, Inc. McCloskey Varnish Company Mearl Corporation Mellen Chemicals, Inc. Merck & Company, Inc. Mideast Aluminum Industries Inc. Mobil Oil Corporation Modern Transportation Company Monroe Chemical Company Monsanto Company Mr. T. D'Amore Murphy Oil U.S.A., Inc. N.L. Industries, Inc. Nepera Inc. New England Laminates Company, Inc. (NELCO) New Penn Motor Express Novick Chemical Company Olin Corporation - Chemicals Group and Philip Hunt Chemical Corporation Oneida Packaging Products Owens-Illinois, Inc. Packaging Systems Corporation Pan Chemical Corporation Para Print Plate Company Paterson Chemical Transport Company, Inc. Paterson Stamp Works Penetone Corporation Pennwalt Corporation Perk Chemical Company, Inc. Permacel Pervel Industries, Inc. Petro-Canda Products (formerly Pacific Petroleums) Pfizer, Inc. Phelps Dodge Copper Products Company Phillips Petroleum Company Plastic Sales + Exports Company, Inc. Plateau Inc. Policastro Service Inc. Portfolio One, Inc. (formerly Chemlime Corporation) Public Service Electric and Gas Company Pyrolac Corporation Quality Chemicals, Inc. RCA Randolph Products Company Reclamation Resources Inc.

Recycling Industries, Inc. Refined Onyx Reichold Chemicals, Inc. Reliance Universal, Inc. Revlon, Inc. Reynolds Aluminum Rheem Manufacturing Company Ridge Printing Company, Inc. Rohm + Haas Company S&W Waste Inc. Sartomer Industries, Inc. Schenectady Chemicals Inc. Schering Corporation Seton Leather Company Sherwin Williams Company Sika Corporation Simon Resources, Inc. (Simon Wrecking Company) Smith Kline Beckman South Carolina Recycling and Disposal, Inc. Southland Corporation Southwestern Refining Company, Inc. Spencer-Kellogg (a division of Textron, Inc.) Standard Oil Company Star Expansion Company Star-Glo Industries Inc. Stauffer Chemical Company Stimpson Company, Inc. Sun Refining and Marketing Company Superior Tube Company Swope Oil & Chemical Company Syntex Beauty Care Inc. Technical Coatings Company Techno Design, Inc. Tenax Finishing Products Company Tenneco Resins Inc. Textile Chemical Company The Upjohn Company Thomas J. Lipton, Inc. Tolas Corporation Transco Products Corporation Troy Chemical Corporation Tungsten Products Corporation (Duro-Test Corporation) Tyler Printing Plates, Inc. U.S. Pipe & Foundry Company U.S. Polychemical Corporation U.S. Prolam Inc. Union Carbide Corporation Uniroyal, Inc. Vanderbilt Chemical Corporation Vanguard Transporation, Inc. Viking Wire Company, Inc. Vorac Company (a division of Seagrave Coatings Corporation) Wagner Electric Corporation
Wesley Laquer Company
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Whittaker Corporation
Williamson and Company
Wise Tag and Label Company
Witco Chemical Corporation
XCEL Corporation

#### TABLE 2

# POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES AND TYPE OF RESPONSE TO 104(e) LETTERS SCIENTIFIC CHEMICAL PROCESSING SITES NEWARK AND CARLSTADT, NEW JERSEY

#### POSITIVE RESPONSE

3M AT+T Technologies, Inc. (formerly Western Electric Company) Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. Allied Corporation Aluminum Company of America American Cyanimid Company American Hoechst Corporation American Inks and Coatings Corporation American Standard, Inc. Ames Rubber Corporation Armstrong Cork Company, Inc. Arrow Group Industries, Inc. Ashland Chemical Company Avery Label BASF Wyandotte Corporation Benjamin Moore + Company Ber-Mar Manufacturing Corporation Borden Inc. Browning-Ferris Industries CBS Toys Cassidy Chemical Company, Inc. Cecos International, Inc. Cellu-Craft Inc. Chemical Dynamics Corporation Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc. Chemical Pollution Control Inc. Chemical Waste Disposal Corporation Chemray Coatings Corporation Ciba-Geigy Corporation Comet Chemical Company, Inc. Congoleum Corporation Continental Can Company, Inc. Continental Technical Finishes Corporation Control Data Corporation Converters Ink Company Custom Chemicals Company Custom Service, Inc. Delaware Container Company, Inc. Dri-Print Foils, Inc. Duralac Inc. E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company, Inc.

E.R. Squibb & Sons Ell-Bee Chemical Company, Inc. Engelhard Corporation Environmental Waste Removal Esselte Pendaflex Corporation Exxon Company, U.S.A. Fitchburg Coated Products (formerly a division of Litton Business Systems) G.T.E. Sylvania Inc. Ganes Chemicals, Inc. General Electric Corporation General Foods Corporation General Motors Gilbert Spruance Company Grumman Aerospace Corporation Harmon Colors Corporation Harris + Mallow Products Hexel Inmont Corporation International Business Machines Corporation Interstab Chemicals, Inc. J. Josephson, Inc. J. Landau & Company, Inc. Jones Chemicals, Inc. Joseph Cinelli Kirker Chemical L.E. Carpenter and Company M and T Chemicals Inc. Maas + Waldstein Company Mack Trucks, Inc. Magnus Tool & Die Company Marisol Inc. Mearl Corporation Merck & Company, Inc. Mobil Oil Corporation Monsanto Company N.L. Industries, Inc. Nepera Inc. New England Laminates Company, Inc. (NELCO) New Penn Motor Express Novick Chemical Company Olin Corporation - Chemicals Group and Philip Hunt Chemical Corporation Packaging Systems Corporation Pan Chemical Corporation Para Print Plate Company Paterson Stamp Works Penetone Corporation Pennwalt Corporation Perk Chemical Company, Inc. Pervel Industries, Inc. Pfizer, Inc.

Public Service Electric and Gas Company Pyrolac Corporation Quality Chemicals, Inc. Reclamation Resources Inc. Recycling Industries, Inc. Reliance Universal, Inc. Revlon, Inc. Reynolds Aluminum Rheem Manufacturing Company Ridge Printing Company, Inc. Rohm + Haas Company Schenectady Chemicals Inc. Schering Corporation Seton Leather Company Simon Resources, Inc. (Simon Wrecking Company) Smith Kline Beckman Southland Corporation Spencer-Kellogg (a division of Textron, Inc.) Star Expansion Company Stauffer Chemical Company Stimpson Company, Inc. Swope Oil & Chemical Company Syntex Beauty Care Inc. Tenax Finishing Products Company Tenneco Resins Inc. The Upjohn Company Tolas Corporation Transco Products Corporation Troy Chemical Corporation Union Carbide Corporation Uniroyal, Inc. Vanderbilt Chemical Corporation Vorac Company (a division of Seagrave Coatings Corporation) Wagner Electric Corporation Wise Tag and Label Company Witco Chemical Corporation

#### OUT OF BUSINESS

Lakamerical Enterprises, Ltd.
Paterson Chemical Transport Company, Inc.

# KNOWN TO HAVE RECEIVED WASTES, NOT GENERATED

All Purpose Roll Leaf Corporation L.J. Drum Company

#### NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE

Masonite Corporation

#### NO RESPONSE

American Can Corporation
American Metaseal Company
Davron Coatings
Electroid Company
Graphimetrics
Hazardous Waste Disposal, Inc.
Oneida Packaging Products
Randolph Products Company
South Carolina Recycling and Disposal, Inc.

# INADEQUATE OR INCOMPLETE RESPONSE

Air-O-Plastik Corporation CODI Semiconductor, Inc. Combustion Equipment Associates/Carter Day Industries, Inc. Conestoga Fuels, Inc. Decorative Industries, Inc. Diamond Shamrock Chemicals Company GAF Corporation General Electric Corporation\* Harshaw Chemical Company Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc. John L. Armitage Company Jonas Waste Removal Mack Barnes Mallory Battery Company Matlack, Inc. Monroe Chemical Company Owens-Illinois, Inc. Permacel **RCA** S&W Waste Inc. Tyler Printing Plates, Inc. Williamson and Company XCEL Corporation

<sup>\*</sup>Although the General Electric (GE) response was inadequate or incomplete, sufficient information was provided to include GE in the list of positive responders.

## CLAIMED DID NOT HANDLE SCP WASTES

A.R. Winarick, Inc. Acco Babcock Inc. Accutreat Film Company Additive Products Division (formerly Photocircuits) Aeroclo Corporation Ahart Chemical Company Alco (Synthane-Taylor) Alfa Ink & Chemical Corporation Alliance Chemical Inc. Amoco Oil Company Ampol KleerKast B.P. Canada BOC Group, Inc. (formerly Airco, Inc.) Bee Chemical Company Bendix Corporation Bio/Dynamics Inc. Bowen Engineering, Inc. Bristol-Myers Products Brown + Root U.S.A. C.F. Braun & Company Callahan Chemical Company Carter Day Industries, Inc. Carter Manfacturing Company Inc. Centric Clutch Company Cerro Wire and Cable Company, Inc. Chemical Intermediates, Inc. Chemical Systems Inc. Chromalloy American Corporation Cities Service Oil + Gas Corporation Clark Oil & Refining Corporation Coburn Corporation Colgate-Palmolive Company Con-Lux Coatings Inc. Congress Textile Printers, Inc. Conoco Inc. Container Graphics Corporation Continental Group Cosan Chemical Corporation Duracell Inc. Efka Plastic Corporation Faberge, Inc. Fairchild Camera and Instrument Corporation Flexabar Corporation General Automative Specialty Company, Inc. Gilette Company Independence Engineering Company Ingersoll-Rand Company

Inland Steel Container Company J. M. Huber Corporation J.T. Baker Chemical Company Johnson + Johnson Baby Products Company Keystone Portland Cement Company Knomark, Inc. Langer Transport Corporation MIHMS Boatyard MOS Technology MacKenzie Chemical Works, Inc. Magid Corporation McCloskey Varnish Company Mellen Chemicals, Inc. Mideast Aluminum Industries Inc. Modern Transportation Company Mr. T. D'Amore Petro-Canada Products (formerly Pacific Petroleums) Phelps Dodge Copper Products Company Plastic Sales + Exports Company, Inc. Plateau Inc. Policastro Service Inc. Portfolio One, Inc. (formerly Chemlime Corporation) Refined Onyx Reichold Chemicals, Inc. Sartomer Industries, Inc. Sherwin Williams Company Sika Corporation Southwestern Refining Company, Inc. Standard Oil Company Star-Glo Industries Inc. Sun Refining and Marketing Company Superior Tube Company Technical Coatings Company Techno Design, Inc. Textile Chemical Company Thomas J. Lipton, Inc. Tungsten Products Corporation (Duro-Test Corporation) U.S. Pipe & Foundry Company U.S. Polychemical Corporation U.S. Prolam Inc. Vanguard Transporation, Inc. Viking Wire Company, Inc. Wesley Laquer Company Westinghouse Electric Corporation Whittaker Corporation

#### CLAIMED RECEIVED WASTE FROM SCP, DID NOT SHIP TO SCP

General Hose Products, Inc. Mattiace Industries, Inc. Murphy Oil U.S.A., Inc. Phillips Petroleum Company

#### TABLE 3A

POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES WHO RESPONDED POSITIVELY TO 104(e) LETTERS ABOUT THE SCP CARLSTADT SITE AND HAVE NOT BEEN NAMED IN A CARLSTADT ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER

**3M** Aluminium Company of America Browning-Ferris Industries CBS Toys Congoleum Corporation Engelhard Corporation Harmon Colors Corporation International Business Machines Corporation Interstab Chemicals, Inc. Marisol Inc. Novick Chemical Company Pennwalt Corporation Schering Corporation Smith Kline Beckman Stauffer Chemical Company Stimpson Company, Inc. The Upjohn Company Uniroyal, Inc.

#### TABLE 3B

POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES WHO RESPONDED POSITIVELY TO 104(e) LETTERS ABOUT THE SCP NEWARK SITE AND HAVE NOT BEEN NAMED IN A NEWARK ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER

Cassidy Chemical Company, Inc.
Environmental Waste Removal

Harmon Colors Corporation (Moboy)
Harris + Mallow Products

L.E. Carpenter and Company — (Dayco)
Seton Leather Company

#### TABLE 3C

POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTIES WHO RESPONDED POSITIVELY TO 104(e) LETTERS ABOUT THE SCP SITES BUT DID NOT KNOW WHICH SITE RECEIVED THE WASTE AND ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS IN WHICH THEY WERE NAMED

0 = Carlstod

Company	Named In Carlstadt A.O.	Named In Newark A.O.	Named In Neither A.O.
Allied Corporation	×	: <b>X</b> .	
American Hoechst Corporation	<b>X</b> . ,	×	
American Inks and Coatings Corporation	x	·	
American Standard, Inc.	<b>x</b> :	•	
Armstrong Cork Company, Inc.	x	x	
Ashland Chemical Company	×	×	
AT+T Technologies, Inc. (formerly	X	×	
Western Electric Company)		<b>**</b>	•
Ber-Mar Manufacturing Corporation	x	x	
Borden Inc.	x	×	
Cecos International, Inc.	X	×	
Chemical Waste Disposal Corporation	~- -		$\odot$
Ciba-Geigy Corporation	Ä	x	
Control Data Corporation	<del></del>	-	$\bigcirc$
Custom Chemicals Company	x		$\odot$
Custom Service, Inc.		x	
Delaware Container Company, Inc.	<b>x</b>	×	
E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company,		<b>x</b>	
E.R. Squibb & Sons	X.	x	
Ell-Bee Chemical Company, Inc.	x	•	
Exxon Company, U.S.A.	<b>X</b>		
Games Chemicals, Inc.	×	x.	
General Electric Corporation*	×	×	
Grumman Aerospace Corporation	. x	X	
Hexel	<b>x</b>	×	
International Business Machines Corporation		x	
Joseph Cinelli		Ä	,
Maas + Waldstein Company	Ä	÷ X	
Mearl Corporation	<b>₹</b>	X	•
Monsanto Company	· <b>x</b>	×	
Nepera Inc.	x	X	

<sup>\*</sup>Although the General Electric (GE) response was inadequate or incomplete, there was sufficient information to include GE in this list of positive responders.

Company	Named In Carlstadt A.O.	Named In Newark A.O.	Named In Neither A.O.
New England Laminates Company, Inc. (NELCO)	×	*	
Novick Chemical Company		•	
Pan Chemical Corporation	x	<b>x</b> .	
Pennwalt Corporation		••	$\bigcirc$
Quality Chemicals, Inc.	×		
Reliance Universal, Inc.	× ×	x	
Revlon, Inc.	X		
Simon Resources, Inc. (Simon Wrecking Company)	×	x	·
Swope Oil & Chemical Company	x		
Syntex Beauty Care Inc. Witco Chemical Corporation	X	·	
		×	

·

### APPENDIX B

CRITERIA USED TO DETERMINE TYPE OF PRP RESPONSE TO 104(e) REQUEST LETTERS ABOUT SCP CARLSTADT AND NEWARK SITES

#### RESPONSE CRITERIA

The following criteria were used to determine the type of response provided by the potentially responsible parties. Whenever a question arose as to the interpretation or the classification of a response, the EPA Primary Contact was consulted to determine the appropriate response category.

<u>POSITIVE RESPONSE</u> - This response indicates that the company acknowledged that its wastes had been shipped to an SCP facility.

OUT OF BUSINESS - Companies who responded that they were out of business are listed in this category.

KNOWN TO HAVE RECEIVED WASTES, NOT GENERATED - This category included all companies who are known to have received wastes from SCP, rather than to have sent wastes to an SCP facility.

NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE - Any company that claimed that SCP handled only its non-hazardous wastes are indicated in this category.

NO RESPONSE - This category includes all companies that did not respond to any EPA requests for information.

INADEQUATE OR INCOMPLETE RESPONSE - Companies that failed to answer EPA's request for information completely and/or clearly are listed in this category.

<u>CLAIMED DID NOT HANDLE SCP WASTES</u> - This category includes all companies who claimed they did not handle any SCP wastes.

CLAIMED RECEIVED WASTE FROM SCP, DID NOT SHIP TO SCP - Companies that claimed they only received wastes from SCP, rather than shipped wastes to an SCP facility are listed in this category.